

EIM-IRMS VALIDATION REPORT

Place of installation:	ISOTOPTECH ZRT. Piac utca 53. 2/9., 4001, P.O. Box: 390 Location: MTA Atomki – Institute for Nuclear Research of the HAS, Bem ter 18/C., 4026 Debrecen, Hungary
Period of installation:	24.09.2018. – 04.10.2018.
Analytical equipment on which EIM Module was installed:	GC Pal-Liquid Autosampler – EIM Module – TC/EA – ConFloIV – Delta ^{plus} XP IRMS (Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry)
Installation Engineer:	Ivan Smajlović, MSc
Persons representing the Client:	Mihaly Veres, CEO Dr. István Futó, Researcher Marianna Túri, Researcher

EIM-Module – Flash 2000 -ConFloIV - IRMS method parameters:	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	
	6.	
	7.	
	8.	
	9.	
	10.	

Ethanol wine samples previously prepared at C.N.R.I.F.F.I. (China National Research Institute for Food and Fermentation Industries Ltd.):

Sample	Sample number	Authentic	Dilution with water prior to alcoholic fermentation (20%v/v)	Addition of Beet sugar to grape must prior to alcoholic fermentation (30 g/L)	Addition of Beet sugar to grape must prior to alcoholic fermentation (20g/L)
Grape no.1 Sugar concentration: 125 g/L	DB73 – 01	✓			
	DB73 - 02			✓ (21 g of Beet sugar into 700mL of grape must)	
	DB73 – 03		✓ (140 mL of tap water + 560 mL of grape must)		
Grape no.2 Sugar concentration: 160 g/L	DB73 – 04	✓			
	DB73 – 05			✓ (29.4 g of Beet sugar into 700mL of grape must)	
	DB73 – 06		✓ (140 mL of tap water + 560 mL of grape must)		

	DB73 - 07				✓ (14 g of Beet sugar into 700mL of grape must)
Grape no.3 Sugar concentration: 120 g/L	DB73 - 08	✓			
	DB73 - 09			✓ (21 g into 700mL of Beet sugar grape must)	
	DB73 - 10		✓ (140 mL of tap water + 560 mL of grape must)		

Ethanol samples from fermented orange juice samples previously prepared at C.N.R.I.F.F.I. (China National Research Institute for Food and Fermentation Industries Ltd.):

Sample	Sample number	FSOJ* (11.5°Bx)	Mixture of FSOJ* and ROJ** (25% - 75%)	Mixture of FSOJ* and ROJ** (50% - 50%)	Mixture of FSOJ* and ROJ** (75% - 25%)	ROJ** (11.5°Bx)	
Orange juice	DB73 - 11	✓					
	DB73 - 12			✓			
	DB73 - 13				✓		
	DB73 - 14					✓	
	DB73 - 15		✓				
	DB73 - 16	✓ (17.5g of Beet sugar to 700g FSJ*) Sugar concentration : 140 g/L					
	DB73 - 17					✓ (17.5 g of Beet sugar in 700g ROJ**) Sugar concentration: 140g/L	
	DB73 - 18	✓					
	DB73 - 19	✓ (120g sugar syrup conc. 500g/L + 580g FSOJ*)					

* FSOJ - Freshly Squeezed Orange Juice

** ROJ - Reconstituted Orange Juice for the orange concentrate 65°Bx diluted with water up to 11.5°Bx

All prepared and fermented samples were distilled using distillation apparatus which was provided by SG Isotech and with possibility to quantitatively extract ethanol from fermented samples with recovery rate of more than 85% and alcoholic strength of more than 91% vol. and for the needs of isotopic testing using the EIM-IRMS method.

EIM-IRMS Results:

Reference material: AFUSALI - Afusali Authentic Wine Ethanol Standard (AAWES) with value -211.89‰ at SGI Scale

Ethanol δD_n values (‰ vs. AAWES at SGI Scale)													
	Thursday		Friday		Saturday		Sunday		Monday		Tuesday		Reference δD_n values (‰ vs. AAWES at SGI Scale)
	27.09.2018.		28.09.2018.		29.09.2018.		30.09.2018.		01.10.2018.		02.10.2018.		
	Mean	St.Dev.*	Mean	St.Dev.*	Mean	St.Dev.*	Mean	St.Dev.*	Mean	St.Dev.*	Mean	St.Dev.*	
DB73-01	-214.88	1.63	-214.56	0.81	-214.19	1.78	-214.33	1.45	-213.50	1.76	-214.47	1.29	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-02**	-216.36	0.07	-214.53	2.13	-218.48	0.88	-216.58	2.41	-216.97	1.26	-216.84	0.27	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-03	-218.98	1.87	-217.17	1.25	-217.53	0.85	-218.08	0.20	-218.07	0.81	-216.31	0.81	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-04	-213.84	3.86	-214.21	1.01	-212.88	0.17	-213.15	1.42	-214.65	1.09	-214.20	1.11	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-05	-219.84	3.79	-220.73	0.94	-219.68	0.59	-220.16	0.63	-220.35	1.76	-220.09	1.32	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-06	-219.09	0.60	-214.86	0.77	-216.49	0.93	-217.55	0.53	-216.95	0.61	-216.09	0.29	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-07	-220.97	1.25	-215.99	1.12	-216.25	1.47	-215.44	1.00	-215.57	0.94	-214.97	0.44	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-08**	-221.13	2.25	-221.73	1.28	-220.44	0.89	-220.44	0.77	-218.18	0.29	-218.99	0.43	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-09	-224.42	0.42	-221.53	0.28	-220.80	1.07	-220.40	1.04	-220.49	0.64	-219.29	0.30	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-10	-224.10	2.03	-219.85	0.88	-220.34	0.48	-220.34	0.48	-220.54	0.46	-219.90	0.91	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-11							-207.97	0.97	-206.97	1.14	-207.15	0.93	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-12							-212.75	1.09	-211.88	0.82	-212.49	0.53	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-13							-210.28	0.95	-209.59	1.16	-210.23	1.38	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-14							-218.82	1.30	-218.07	0.71	-218.06	0.07	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-15							-215.55	0.64	-214.20	0.84	-214.13	1.20	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-16							-215.28	1.31	-212.55	0.94	-213.90	0.90	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-17							-228.88	1.21	-227.71	0.43	-228.77	0.74	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-18							-209.35	1.11	-210.43	0.91	-210.61	1.00	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾
DB73-19							-223.75	0.80	-222.63	1.54	-224.26	1.03	-205 - (-215) ¹⁾

*Repeatability standard deviation of minimum 4 injection runs of the same sample

**Sample replacement error

¹⁾Scientific paper: I. Smajlović, K.L. Sparks, J.P. Sparks, I. Leskošek Čukalović & S. Jović (2012): Ethanol isotope method (EIM) for uncovering illegal wine, Natural Product Research: Formerly Natural Product Letters, DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2012.673610

Intralaboratory Repeatability and Reproducibility calculation:

Sample Number	Ethanol δD_n mean value (% vs. AAWES at SGI Scale)	Intralaboratory Reproducibility standard deviation sR=(STDEV of all measurements of the same sample)	Intralaboratory Repeatability standard deviation sr = (STDEV I day + STDEV II day + STDEV III day + STDEV IV day + V day + VI day)
DB73-01	-214.32	0.47	1.45
DB73-02	-216.63	1.27	1.17
DB73-03	-217.69	0.91	0.97
DB73-04	-213.82	0.68	1.44
DB73-05	-220.14	0.37	1.50
DB73-06	-216.84	1.43	0.62
DB73-07	-216.53	2.22	1.04
DB73-08	-220.15	1.33	0.98
DB73-09	-221.16	1.76	0.62
DB73-10	-220.84	1.62	0.87
DB73-11	-207.36	0.53	1.01
DB73-12	-212.37	0.45	0.81
DB73-13	-210.03	0.38	1.16
DB73-14	-218.32	0.43	0.69
DB73-15	-214.63	0.80	0.89
DB73-16	-213.91	1.37	1.05
DB73-17	-228.46	0.64	0.79
DB73-18	-210.13	0.68	1.01
DB73-19	-223.55	0.83	1.12
Average		0.96	1.01


*Sample replacement error

FSOJ (Freshly squeezed orange juice) vs. ROJ (Reconstructed orange fruit juice) authenticity chart

Sample number	Mixture	Ethanol δD_n values (% vs. AAWES at SGI Scale)
DB73-11	100% FSOJ	-207.36
DB73-12	50% FSOJ + 50% ROJ	-212.37
DB73-13	75% FSOJ + 25% ROJ	-210.03
DB73-14	100% ROJ	-218.32
DB73-15	25% FSOJ + 75% ROJ	-214.63

* FSOJ – Freshly Squeezed Orange Juice

** ROJ – Reconstituted Orange Juice for the orange concentrate 65°Bx diluted with water up to 11.5°Bx



The accuracy of measurement is expressed by the **precision limits** of the repeatability and reproducibility which can be calculated from the sample standard deviation of the test results. The repeatability precision limit (r) and the reproducibility precision limit (R) are calculated as a second fold repeatability standard deviation (sr) or the second fold reproducibility standard deviation (sR).

$$r = 2 * sr = 2.02 ‰$$

$$R = 2 * sR = 1.92 ‰$$

The accuracy of the measurement can be summarized as:

Measurement accuracy δD_n value in wine ethanol (‰ vs. AAWES)

- Average intralaboratory repeatability (r) (as repeatability of measurements): 2.02 ‰
- Average intralaboratory reproducibility (R) (as reproducibility of measurements): 1.92 ‰

Since the repeatability limit (r) of measurement is 2.02 ‰, this means that for the lower authenticity range limit value of -215 ‰ vs. AAWES the authenticity limit value (A.L.) is -217.02 ‰ vs. AAWES.

Note: The values listed in the table only apply to the results obtained during validation of EIM-IRMS method, operated by the SG Isotech engineer Ivan Smajlović with support and in the presence and assistance of Dr Istvan Futo and Marianna Turi. Preparation of all samples including alcoholic fermentation was done previously at C.N.R.I.F.F.I. in the presence and assistance of Dr. Daobing Wang and Mr. Guanghao Wang from CNRIFFI in China.

Distillation of all samples from C.N.R.I.F.F.I. was done by Mr. Guanghao Wang and in accordance with the instructions from the SG Isotech engineer Ivan Smajlović.


Statement: We hereby confirm the successful validation of EIM-IRMS analytical method at the ISOTOPTECH ZRT., Location: MTA Atomki – Institute for Nuclear Research of the HAS, Hungary.

In Debrecen,

SG Isotech DOO Pančevo,
Maksima Gorkog 58/C, 26000 Pančevo
Republic of Serbia

ISOTOPTECH ZRT.
Piac utca 53. 2/9., 4001, P.O.Box: 390,
Location: MTA Atomki – Institute for Nuclear Research
of HAS, Bem ter 18/C., 4026 Debrecen, Hungary

Representative signature



Institutional stamp

Date: 04.10.2018.



Representative signature



Institutional stamp

Date: 04.10.2018.

